

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,881	07/31/2003	Wai Kai Wong	9046/20	1813	
757 75	90 02/07/2005		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOP P.O. BOX 1039	FER GILSON & LIONE	LEE, GUIYOUNG			
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		
				DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,881	WONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Guiyoung Lee	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alastica -accidentant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached On	ice Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		eation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0404 and 1204</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 20, 24, 30 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roy (US 5,457,900) cited by applicant.
- 3. Re claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-11, 13-15, 17-21, 23-25, 27-32, 34-39, 41-42 and 44: Roy discloses the claimed flashing light system on a foot wear and the method of displaying a message by the flashing light system comprising a controller (16 in Fig. 7); a battery power source (20) connected to the controller; an inertia or motion switch (22 and col. 3, lines 16-18) connected to at least one of the power source and the controller; a first plurality of LEDS (15 of the alphanumeric "H" in Fig. 4B) connected to the controller, wherein the switch and the controller activate the first plurality of LEDS to display an alphanumeric character by flashing the LEDS in a sequence (col. 2, lines 55-65); and a second plurality of LEDS (15 of the alphanumeric I" in Fig. 4B) connected to the controller, wherein the switch and the controller activate the second plurality of LEDS to display at least one pattern.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2875

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5, 9, 33, 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy as applied to claims 1, 30 and 38 above, and further in view of Garner (US 5,903,103) cited by applicant.

Re claims 3-5, 9, 33, 40 and 43: Roy does not disclose at least two NAND circuits. However, Garner teaches the at least two NAND circuits (81A-C in Fig. 7E) producing a high frequency and a low frequency (col. 9, lines 33+), and the NAND circuit is connected to the controller. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Roy's control circuit to have Garner's NAND circuit in order to control the display of flashing the plurality of LEDs at the particular frequency.

- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Raskas et al. (US 5,615,111).
- Re claim 6: Roy does not disclose that the controller is a CMOS controller. However, Raskas teaches the CMOS controller (col. 3, line 33). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute Raskas' CMOS controller with Roy's controller in order to various functions of the flashing light system.
- 7. Claims 12, 16 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy as applied to claims 1 and 24 above, and further in view of Wei (US 6,525,87 B2) cited by applicant.

Re claims 12, 16 and 26: Roy does not disclose two different batteries and wherein at least one of the first and second pluralities of LEDs is connected to two different voltages in sequence.

to provide two different driving voltages to the plurality of LEDs.

However, Wei teaches two different batteries (V1 and V2 in Fig. 3) and wherein at least one of the first and second pluralities of LEDs (41-43) is connected to two different voltages in sequence. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Roy's battery system to have two battery system as taught by Wei in order

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

Santira O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 4